cajado no asserbar es

## BOROUGH COUNCIL



## **CALL-IN REQUEST FORM**

Decision reference/minute no.	A6: UPDATE ON ASSET MANAGEMENT STRATEGY AND DELIVERY PLAN
Date of publication of decision:	13/11/2017
Decision taken by:	Cabinet

This form must be returned to the Chief Executive within 7 working days of the decision being published with at least 5 signatures

## **Decision Called in:**

- (i) That Cabinet approves the updated Asset Management Strategy and Delivery Plan and agrees in principle to the disposal of the sites set out in Appendix 1, subject to the relevant consultations being carried out and the outcomes being reported back to Cabinet.
- (ii) That officers take the appropriate steps to protect the Councils interests in respect of all its land.
- (iii) That officers be authorised to take appropriate steps to achieve the principle of residential development of the subject sites prior to any disposal
- (iv) That the Leader of the Council writes to the relevant Government Minister or Department to highlight the issues caused by undetermined applications for Village Green status and urging further legislative changes and/or guidance to achieve a better balance between the interests of land owners and other interested parties.
- (v) A copy of this letter to be sent to the 4 MPs serving the Borough asking them to raise this issue in Parliament.

A call-in should satisfy one or more of the following criteria	
Which of the following criteria is supports the call-in of this decision? (please tick)	
The decision may be contrary to the budget or policy framework set by the council and the Monitoring Officer has advised accordingly	
The decision is inconsistent with another Council Policy	
The decision is inconsistent with a previous Overview and Scrutiny recommendation, which has been accepted by the council or the Cabinet	
The decision maker has not taken into account relevant considerations and this can be demonstrated by reference to the documents supporting the decision	
The decision maker has failed to consult relevant people or bodies in contravention of defined Council Policies or procedures	
X The decision has or will demonstrate a significant adverse public reaction	
The decision gives rise to significant legal, financial or propriety issues	
Please explain how the relevant criteria above are met by this call in:	
The Cabinet has failed to take into account:	
a) the value of green spaces within local communities b) the need for fairness, transparency and consistency in decision-making c) the recommendations of Asset Committee. Particularly recommendation (f) relating a comprehensive area review process	
Suggested proposal you would like to be voted on at the call-in meeting (this should be an evidence-based proposal and you should provide evidence to support the proposal)	
(i) that an 'in principle' decision to disposal of the sites set out in Appendix 1 is not made until a comprehensive review of council owned land/sites is carried out and made publically available	
(ii) that the Committee urges the Council Leader, Deputy Leader & Cabinet to accept that Village/Town Green Status legislation was put in place to protect local communities from loss of locally valued green space and to preserve it for future generations.	